

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/542,743	(	04/04/2000	Allan Havemose	AMI 99 0004 5093	
32718	7590	12/13/2006		EXAMINER	
GATEWA'	•	N EC DICHADDO	TRUONG, LECHI		
ATTN: SCOTT CHARLES RICHARDSON 610 GATEWAY DR., Y-04				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
N. SIOUX CITY, SD 57049			2194		
				DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/542,743	HAVEMOSE, ALLAN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	LeChi Truong	2194					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M	arch 2006						
	action is non-final.	•					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-24,40 and 41 is/are pending in the a	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-24,40 and 41</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-24, 40,41</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
· · · ·	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
application from the International Bureau	•						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	ed.					
		)					
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	SUPERVISORY PATER SUPERVISORY CE  4)   Interview Summary	ONSON' IT EXAMPLES (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application					

Application/Control Number: 09/542,743 Page 2

Art Unit: 2194

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-24, 40 and 41 are presented for the examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 13, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cockrill et al (Us Patent 6,473,740 B2) in view of Freund et al (US. Patent 6,138,169) and further in view of Paul Dreyfus (CORBA: Theory and Practice).
- 4. **As to claim 1**, Corkrill teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a method of performing a transaction in a network (transaction network, col 3, ln 54-67), comprising receiving content (the purchase, the purchased item, col 4, ln 40-56/ col 7, ln 60-67); an transaction object (a transaction record, col 4, ln 35-56/ col 7, ln 60-67/ a transaction network request, col 19, ln 5-30), a fist digital information appliance (the customer, col 4, ln 35-56/ col 7, ln 60-67); monitoring usage of the content (the price of the selected item, col 19, ln 5-30), a utilization event of the monitored usage (the identity of the user, col 19, ln 5-30), an occurrence of the utilization event (a pending transaction record, the sum of the prices of the pending transaction records indicating the identity of user, col 19, ln 5-35), storing an occurrence

Art Unit: 2194

of the utilization (storing a pending transaction record indicating identify of the user...the purchase request originated, col 20, ln 1-25), transmitting data related the stored occurrence (forward payment request, col 9, ln 10-20), a network (a secure network, col 9, ln 10-20).

- 5. Cockrill does not explicitly teach transaction as object, the content and transaction information are encapsulated in the transaction object. However, Freund teaches transaction as object, the content and transaction information is encapsulated in the transaction object (A transaction object is an object whose behavior is affected by being invoked within the scope of a transaction. A transaction object typically contains or refers to persistent data that can be modified by transaction request, col 5, ln 27-31).
- 6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Cockrill and Freund because Freund's transaction as object, the content and transaction information are encapsulated in the transaction object system would improve the efficiency of Cockrill's system by allowing the object oriented systems to operate with existing of procedure transaction processing system.
- 7. Crockrill and Freund do not teach a network via a direct, object to object communication for transaction. However, Paul teaches a network via a direct, object-to-object communication for transaction (CORBA takes care of how your object ... handles object-to-object transactions, sec: Architecture and ORBs, ln 13-15/ a transaction service, which defines transactions between objects, sec: Architecture and ORBs, ln 23-25).
- 8. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Crockrill, Freund and Paul because Paul's a network via a direct, object to object communication for transaction would improve efficiency of Crockrill and

Art Unit: 2194

Freund's systems by allowing ORB to provide a standard, open means of interoperability between software objects distributed across the Internet.

- As to claim 2, Cockrill teaches request is send over a network connection (network, col 9. 7, ln 43-67).
- 10. As to claim 13, it is an apparatus claim of claim 1; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 1 above. Additional, Corkrill teaches processor on a digital information appliance (CPU 510, col 9, ln 42-45), a network connection device (a network connection, col 9, ln 42-45), a memory (memory, col 9, ln 25-30).
- As to claim 14, it is an apparatus claim of claim 2; therefore, it is rejected for the same 11. reason as claim 2 above.
- As to claims 40, 41, Cockill teaches the transact ion information includes billing **12.** information and security information (col 13, ln 45-52).
- Claims 3-6, 8-12, 15-18, 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 13. over Cockrill et al (US Patent 6,473,740 B2) in view of Freund et al (US. Patent 6,138,169), in view of Paul Dreyfus (CORBA: Theory and Practice), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Saulpaugh et al (US. Patent 5,590, 334).
- 14. As to claim 3, Cockrill, Freund and Paul do not teach a request object. However, Saulpaugh teaches a request object (the object oriented passing unit, col 3, ln 24-67).
- 15. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Cockrill, Freund, Paul and Saulpaugh because

Saulpaugh's request object would improve the efficiency of Cockrill, Freund, Paul 's systems by reducing the time required to perform time critical operations between client tasks and server tasks.

- 16. **As to claim 4,** Saulpaugh teaches a request interface dynamic base object (a message object, an acceptance function with a port object, acceptance function for performing one or more services, col 3, ln 23-67).
- 17. **As to claim 5,** Cockrill teaches the user-defined criterion (payment information, col 4, ln 35-65).
- 18. As to claim 6, Cockrill teaches cost, pricing (payment, col 11, ln 15-35), plurality digital information appliances (number of customers, col 3, ln 54-67).
- 19. **As to claim 8,** Cockrill teaches the first and second of transaction object (a pending transaction record, the sum of the prices of the pending transaction records indicating the identity of user, col 19, ln 5-35).
- 20. **As to claim 9**, Cockrill teaches payment (payment information, col 4, ln 30-57) and Gilchrist teaches algorithm for the payment of transaction object (message is received as a class of message objects, with out modify the system program, col 3, ln 1-25).
- 21. **As to claim 10**, Corkrill teaches the second digital information appliance (one of merchants, col 3, ln 55-67 to col 4, ln 1-20).
- 22. **As to claim 11**, Corkrill teaches a central transaction authenticator (centralized, automated services, col 4, ln 1-30), storing and updating user account information (customer account management, col 4, ln 1-15).

Application/Control Number: 09/542,743 Page 6

Art Unit: 2194

23. **As to claim 12**, Corkill teaches media and network connection (network as well as an electronic mail, col 4, ln 35-55).

- 24. **As to claims 15- 18, 20-24,** they are apparatus claims of claims 4-12; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 4-12 above.
- 25. Claims 7, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cockrill et al (Us Patent 6,473,740 B2) in view of Freund et al (US. Patent 6,138,169), in view of Paul Dreyfus (CORBA: Theory and Practice), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Sato (Facsimile system).
- 26. **As to claim 7**, Corkrill, Freund and Paul do not teach saving the occurrence of the utilization event when the network connection is not available. However, Sato teaches saving the occurrence of the utilization event when the network connection is not available (the transmission line is busy the transfer is not available, the read picture information is stored in a SAF memory, page 1).
- 27. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Cockrill, Freund, Paul and Sato because Sato's the storing information would improve the efficiency of Cockrill, Freund and Paul's systems by allowing a transmission without incurring cost increase.
- 28. **As to claim 19**, it is an apparatus claim of claim 7; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 7 above.

## Response to the argument

Application/Control Number: 09/542,743

Art Unit: 2194

29. Applicant's arguments filed 3/13/2006 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant amended the claims Paul 's reference meets the amended feature.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LeChi Truong whose telephone number is (571) 272 3767. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomson, William can be reached on (571) 272 3718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/542,743 Page 8

Art Unit: 2194

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIP. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIP system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll-free).

LeChi Truong

December 7, 2006

